

MINUTES OF THE
MAG MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE MEETING
November 8, 2006
MAG Office Building - Saguaro Room
Phoenix, Arizona

MEMBERS ATTENDING

Ed Beasley, Glendale, Chair	Stephen Cleveland, Goodyear
Bridget Schwartz-Manock for Jan Dolan, Scottsdale, Vice Chair	* Mark Johnson, Guadalupe
* George Hoffman, Apache Junction	Darryl Crossman, Litchfield Park
Shirley Gunther for Charlie McClendon, Avondale	Christopher Brady, Mesa
Ruth Garcia for Jeanine Guy, Buckeye	Tom Martinsen, Paradise Valley
* Jon Pearson, Carefree	Carl Swenson for Terry Ellis, Peoria
Wayne Anderson for Usama Abujbarah, Cave Creek	Frank Fairbanks, Phoenix
Mark Pentz, Chandler	John Kross, Queen Creek
Mark Fooks for B.J. Cornwall, El Mirage	* Bryan Meyers, Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community
Alfonso Rodriguez for Orlando Moreno, Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation	Jim Rumpeltes, Surprise
Tim Pickering, Fountain Hills	Will Manley, Tempe
* Lynn Farmer, Gila Bend	* Reyes Medrano, Tolleson
* Joseph Manuel, Gila River Indian Community	Shane Dille, Wickenburg
George Pettit, Gilbert	Lloyce Robinson, Youngtown
	Bill Hayden for Victor Mendez, ADOT
	Richard Wallace for David Smith, Maricopa County
	David Boggs, Valley Metro/RPTA

* Those members neither present nor represented by proxy.

Participated by telephone conference call.

+ Participated by videoconference call.

1. Call to Order

The meeting was called to order by Chair Ed Beasley at 12:00 p.m.

2. Pledge of Allegiance

The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.

Chair Beasley noted that MAG staff was available to assist members of the public in turning in their public comment cards, who will bring the cards to the Chair. Chair Beasley stated that transit tickets were available from Valley Metro/RPTA for those using transit to come to the

meeting. Parking validation was available from MAG staff for those who parked in the parking garage.

Chair Beasley noted the addendum to the agenda, item #9, and supporting material on the STAN account for agenda item #5 were at each place.

3. Call to the Audience

Chair Beasley stated that Call to the Audience provides an opportunity to the public to address the Management Committee on items that are not on the agenda that are within the jurisdiction of MAG, or non-action agenda items that are on the agenda for discussion or information only. Chair Beasley noted that those wishing to comment on agenda items posted for action will be provided the opportunity at the time the item is heard. Public comments have a three minute time limit and there is a timer to help the public with their presentations.

Chair Beasley recognized public comment from Dianne Barker, who submitted for the record an email from another citizen she forwarded to the Executive Director regarding the public comment process. This document was entered into the public record. Ms. Barker stated that she had a problem with the agenda because the Management Committee was being asked to recommend the Public Participation Plan, but she did not see it indicated as a Consent Agenda item. Ms. Barker stated that the Regional Council Chair and the Executive Director agreed to provide her with information regarding the process for citizens putting items on the agenda and requesting additional time for public comment. Ms. Barker stated that she saw the draft Plan was deficient about this. She said she was not informed she could add to the Plan even though it is out for comment. Ms. Barker commented that this was not a genuine process and asked if MAG was a sorority or fraternity. She stated that MAG is not a government but a collection of endeavors. Ms. Barker suggested that the Management Committee reject this recommendation because it is deficient in showing how citizens can communicate with decision makers. She stated that she is tolerated but MAG needs her because these are federally funded programs. Ms. Barker added that this is not a full process under the law. She asked if she would be able to comment on the Public Participation Plan when it was heard. Chair Beasley replied that she would have an opportunity at the time the item was heard.

4. Approval of Consent Agenda

Chair Beasley stated that agenda items #4A, #4B, #4C, #4D, #4E, #4F, #4G, #4H, #4I, #4J, and #4K were on the consent agenda and reviewed the public comment guidelines. After hearing public comments, any member of the Committee can request that an item be removed from the consent agenda and considered individually. He noted that no public comment cards had been turned in.

Mr. Pettit moved to recommend approval of the Consent Agenda. Mr. Crossman seconded, and the motion carried unanimously.

4A. Approval of October 4, 2006 Meeting Minutes

The Management Committee, by consent, approved the October 4, 2006 meeting minutes.

4B. Proposed 2007 Revisions to the MAG Standard Specifications and Details for Public Works Construction

The MAG Standard Specifications and Details for Public Works Construction represent the best professional thinking of representatives of several Public Works Departments and are reviewed and refined by members of the construction industry. They were written to fulfill the need for uniform rules for public works construction performed for Maricopa County and the various cities and public agencies in the county. It further fulfills the need for adequate standards by the smaller communities and agencies who could not afford to promulgate such standards for themselves. The MAG Standard Specifications and Details Committee completed its 2006 review of proposed revisions to the MAG Publication. A summary of these recommendations was sent to MAG Public Works Directors, in addition to members of the Management Committee, for review for a period of one month. It is anticipated that the annual update packet will be available for purchase in early January 2007. This item was on the agenda for information and discussion.

4C. Public Hearing on the 2006 Annual Report on the Status of the Implementation of Proposition 400

Arizona Revised Statute 28-6354 requires that MAG issue an annual report on the status of projects funded by the half-cent sales tax authorized by Proposition 400 and hold a Public Hearing on the report. A Public Hearing on the Draft 2006 Annual Report was held at the MAG office on October 19, 2006. A transcript of this Public Hearing was prepared and was enclosed for information. MAG committees were briefed prior to the Public Hearing regarding the key findings and issues identified in the report. This item was on the agenda for information and discussion.

4D. Amendment to the FY 2007 Public Safety Answering Point (PSAP) Annual Element/Funding Request and FY 2007-2011 Equipment Program for the MAG 9-1-1 System

The Management Committee, by consent, recommended approval of the amendment to the FY 2007 Public Safety Answering Point (PSAP) Annual Element/Funding Request and FY 2007-2011 Equipment Program for the MAG 9-1-1 System to include a 9-1-1 phone system upgrade for the Phoenix Police Department in the amount of \$3.5 million; a 9-1-1 system upgrade for the Phoenix Fire Department in the amount of \$750,000; and a 9-1-1 system upgrade for the Scottsdale Police Department in the amount of \$500,000. On September 28, 2005, the MAG Regional Council approved the MAG FY 2007 Public Safety Answering Point (PSAP) Annual Element/Funding Request and FY 2007-2011 Equipment Program, to be forwarded to the Arizona Department of Administration for funding. The equipment costs included in the MAG Funding Request and Equipment Program are currently paid by the 9-1-1 state excise tax. Since the approval, the funding request needs to be amended to include upgrades at three 9-1-1 facilities. The Phoenix Police Department is scheduled for a 9-1-1 phone system upgrade for

58 positions, along with 44 new positions, at its two locations at 620 W. Washington and 100 E. Elwood. The two PSAP locations will handle 9-1-1 traffic simultaneously. This project was originally requested in FY 2006 in the amount of \$1,850,000. Due to facility issues, the project was delayed to FY 2007. In addition, two PBX switches and equipment to run the two centers simultaneously require an upgrade for 58 positions. The Phoenix Police Department project will now require a total of \$3.5 million. The Phoenix Fire Department is scheduled for a 9-1-1 system upgrade for 18 positions, along with five new positions. This project will require \$750,000. The Scottsdale Police Department is scheduled for a 9-1-1 system upgrade for 11 positions, along with four new positions. This project will require \$500,000. Management at the Phoenix Police Department, the Phoenix Fire Department, and the Scottsdale Police Department have all expressed support for the approval of these projects. The State 9-1-1 Office has indicated that funding is available to pay the costs of these upgrades.

4E. Changes to the Approved June 28, 2006 Arterial Life Cycle Program (ALCP) Policies and Procedures

The Management Committee, by consent, recommended approval of the proposed changes to the previously approved June 28, 2006 ALCP Policies and Procedures. Minor technical refinements (concerning invoices and administrative adjustments) need to be made to the approved June 28, 2006 Arterial Life Cycle Program (ALCP) Policies and Procedures. These have been discussed with the ALCP Working Group, and on October 26, 2006, the MAG Transportation Review Committee recommended approval of the proposed changes to the ALCP Policies and Procedures.

4F. Arterial Life Cycle Program (ALCP) – Status Report

Each quarter, MAG staff provides member agencies with an update on projects in the Arterial Life Cycle Program (ALCP). This is the third Status Report (covering the period from July to September 2006) for the ALCP. The Status Report includes an update on ALCP Project work, and ALCP revenue/financial section, information about ALCP amendments and administrative adjustments, and the remaining FY 2007 ALCP schedule. This item was on the agenda for information and discussion.

4G. Maricopa County Resident Population and Employment Projections

The Management Committee, by consent, recommended approval of the Maricopa County resident population and employment projections for 2010, 2015, 2020, 2025, 2030, and 2035. According to Executive Order 95-2, the Arizona Department of Economic Security (DES) is responsible for preparing an official set of population projections for Arizona and each of its counties. The projections are required to use the latest Census as the base. Because the results of the 2005 Census Survey were not available at the time that projections were adopted by DES in March 2006, Census 2000 was used as the base. Subsequent to the release of the 2005 Census Survey in June 2006, DES prepared a new set of Maricopa County projections consistent with the 2005 Census Survey. MAG has also developed a set of employment projections for Maricopa County that are consistent with these DES population projections. The projections are for 2010, 2015, 2020, 2025, 2030 and 2035. They will be used as the control totals from which

MAG will develop a set of subregional projections that will be brought to the Management Committee and Regional Council in 2007.

4H. Conformity Consultation

MAG is conducting consultation on a conformity assessment for a City of Phoenix project-level conformity determination for a park-and-ride facility located at the southwest corner of 27th Avenue and Baseline Road. The proposed facility will provide parking for approximately 240 vehicles. The construction phase of the project is programmed in FY 2008 of the FY 2007-2011 MAG Transportation Improvement Program. MAG has reviewed the project air quality assessment for compliance and concurs with the project-level conformity determination. Comments were requested by November 17, 2006. This item was on the agenda for consultation.

4I. Annual Homeless Street Count

On December 8, 1999, the Regional Council approved MAG becoming the responsible entity for a year-round homeless planning process which includes submittal of the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Stuart B. McKinney Continuum of Care Consolidated Application for Maricopa County. The Continuum of Care grant supports permanent and transitional housing and supportive services. Last year, the region received \$20 million, with a total of \$106 million awarded since 2000. The MAG Continuum of Care Regional Committee on Homelessness, formed in January 2000 by the MAG Regional Council, provides oversight of the homeless planning and application processes. In order to apply for Stuart B. McKinney funding, HUD requires that each Continuum of Care conduct a homeless street count to take place during the last week of January. The data collected in the count are incorporated into the HUD grant application to provide hard data on the number of homeless people in our region. The MAG Continuum of Care Regional Committee on Homelessness is regionally responsible for the planning and coordination of the countywide street count. The 2007 homeless street count will take place on Tuesday, January 30, 2007. Street count coordinators and volunteers will spread out across the county to count and gather basic demographic information about homeless people seen on the streets that day. The count will focus on public places and service locations over a 24-hour period of time. Street count coordinators have been identified in each of the cities and towns within Maricopa County and training sessions have been scheduled to take place in mid-November. This item was presented to inform the Committee of the planning process and to ensure active participation in each city and town.

4J. Contract Amendment for the Avondale Littleton School Sidewalk Design Assistance Project

The Management Committee, by consent, recommended approval of an amendment to the City of Avondale contract #298 to increase the total contract amount from \$27,270 to \$58, 510. On June 28, 2006, the MAG Regional Council approved an additional \$31,240 of CMAQ funds as part of the FY 2006 Closeout process for the Avondale Littleton School Sidewalk Pedestrian Design Assistance Project. These funds were approved specifically to complete the environmental clearance for the project. In order for the additional funds to be utilized, it is necessary to amend the City of Avondale's current contract #298 from \$27,270 to \$58, 510.

4K. Proposed Amendment and Administrative Adjustment to the FY 2007- June 28, 2006 Arterial Life Cycle Program (ALCP)

The Management Committee, by consent, recommended approval of an Amendment and an Administrative Adjustment to the FY 2007 – June 28, 2006 ALCP to add a new segment to a Chandler project, change two Maricopa County project and reimbursement schedules, and make an administrative adjustment to a Phoenix project and a Chandler project to reflect actual project costs. The FY 2007 Arterial Life Cycle Program (ALCP) was approved by MAG Regional Council on June 28, 2006. Since that time, one project has been identified that needs to be segmented, two projects have been identified that need to change project and reimbursement schedules, and two projects have been identified that need to lower and adjust the regional reimbursement amounts. An amendment is required to add the segment to the ALCP and change the project and reimbursement schedules, and an administrative adjustment is needed to adjust the project reimbursement amounts due to lower actual costs. On October 26, 2006, the MAG Transportation Review Committee recommended approval of the changes to the ALCP.

5. Statewide Transportation Acceleration Needs (STAN) Account

Eric Anderson reported that House Bill 2865 created the Statewide Transportation Acceleration Needs (STAN) account that provides \$307 million to be used for the acceleration of the construction or reconstruction of freeways, state highways, bridges, and interchanges that are included in the Regional Transportation Plan. He said that the Maricopa County region will receive 60 percent (\$184.2 million) of the fund, the Pima County area 16 percent, and the remainder of the state 24 percent. Mr. Anderson advised that the legislation requires that the funds for this region be allocated to projects in the MAG Regional Transportation Plan and that STAN funds are intended to supplement, not supplant, committed funding.

Mr. Anderson stated that a STAN workshop was held on November 3, 2006. The list of potential projects to utilize STAN funding had been narrowed to those that were project-ready, and meet criteria and performance data. He noted that the projects that fit these criteria were included in the spreadsheet at each place.

Mr. Anderson stated that the MAG Transportation Policy Committee is expected to make a recommendation to the Regional Council on November 15, 2006. The Regional Council may ratify this recommendation at its December 13, 2006 meeting and provide it to the State Transportation Board. Mr. Anderson stated that MAG is also required to report on activities related to the STAN account to the House and Senate by December 15, 2006. Chair Beasley thanked Mr. Anderson for his report and asked members if they had questions.

Mr. Rumpeltes expressed his appreciation to MAG staff, ADOT, and all of the agencies for considering the City of Surprise's request for funding along Loop 303. He offered clarification of the availability of right-of-way. He said that property owners indicated that the dedication of right-of-way would happen if this goes through.

6. Approval of the Draft MAG Public Participation Plan

Jason Stephens, MAG Public Involvement Planner, stated that MAG has developed a new Draft Public Participation Plan in accordance with new federal transportation guidelines contained in the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU). He explained that this new plan would define the process for public participation at MAG and serve as a guideline in obtaining public input on future updates to the Transportation Improvement Program and Regional Transportation Plan.

Mr. Stephens stated that MAG currently has an adopted process for receiving public input. It was adopted in 1994, enhanced in 1998 and meets all the requirements of the current federal transportation legislation. Mr. Stephens stated that this new plan would also meet all federal requirements and would replace the existing plan, creating an even more effective process for gathering public input. The new draft plan was made available for a 45-day review as required by federal law. It was formally noticed through a public notice placed in *The Arizona Republic*, distributed to intergovernmental representatives and public and private sector organizations for comment as directed in SAFETEA-LU, and was made available on the MAG Web site and in the MAG library.

Mr. Stephens stated that the new plan will retain all of the aspects of the original plan, including opportunities for input at every level of the planning and programming process; a four-phase input opportunity process; input opportunity reports; formal responses to comments provided during the Mid Phase and Final Phase input opportunities; accessibility of MAG Communications Division staff through the MAG Web site, telephone and email. Mr. Stephens added that it includes an increased emphasis on visualization techniques, such as GIS maps and other visual aids, as required in new SAFETEA-LU guidelines. Chair Beasley thanked Mr. Stephens for his report and asked members if they had questions. None were noted.

Chair Beasley recognized public comment from Ms. Barker, who stated that she had not looked at the federal law completely, but did not think this review process followed the intent of the law. This falls short in details and reasonable communications. Ms. Barker stated that at the October 23, 2006 Regional Council meeting, the Chair and the Executive Director agreed on providing her with a response on three questions. Ms. Barker said that there was no immediate response from staff and she had to contact them by telephone and email. She said that she did not think she should have to irritate people to be a part of the process. Ms. Barker stated that she should have been told this Plan was available for review so she could have been involved. Ms. Barker stated that she believed that every business has customer service and reaches out to its customers or it goes out of business. She said that she found out about this item when she received the agenda in her mailbox. Ms. Barker stated that she felt there should have been outreach to let her know this was up for a recommendation. She said she did not think this complied with the intent of the law. Ms. Barker extended her thanks for the things that MAG does for her, such as transit tickets, but no one is reaching out to her. Ms. Barker asked Chair Beasley if staff would get back to her with the answers to her three questions before the next Regional Council meeting. Chair Beasley replied that staff would respond to her.

7. Update on the Regional Office Center

Denise McClafferty, MAG Management Analyst, stated that the presentation would provide highlights of the Regional Office Center project to-date. She said that since the last report to the Committee in April, the Executive Committee authorized the MAG Executive Director to sign a letter of indemnification with Kaye/Ryan, the land owner and developer team, for an amount not to exceed \$280,000 for pre-development costs, which will include a schematic design package, including plans, specifications and a detailed cost estimate.

Ms. McClafferty noted that over the past several months, staff has been working with the partnering agencies, architects, the developer, and the neighborhood on the schematic design phase of this project. This phase includes a conceptual design of the building and a detailed floor plan layout of each agency's office space that would allow the developer team to draft a detailed estimate on the cost of constructing the Regional Office Center. Ms. McClafferty added that the cost estimate should be available later this month.

Ms. McClafferty stated that once the detailed estimate is received, staff will review and develop a financial analysis to determine the cost to each agency and make a presentation to each agency's board of directors. She noted that if there is a consensus by agencies that partnering in this project is financially feasible, several options are available, including: 1) Moving forward and signing a purchase agreement with Kaye/Ryan based on the detailed estimate; 2) Requesting that Kaye/Ryan develop a more refined number, which will move this project to the design development phase before a purchase agreement is signed; 3) Agencies could agree on items that could be eliminated from the project to reduce costs and proceed with purchase contract negotiations. Ms. McClafferty advised that choosing option two would require a commitment in writing with Kaye/Ryan. She added that an agreement with the partnering agencies on ownership, allocation of common space, and operation and maintenance of the building will also need to be developed. Ms. McClafferty noted that the partnering agencies have already started discussions regarding this agreement.

Ms. McClafferty introduced Craig Randock from RNL Architects, who provided a presentation on the concept for the building and conference center. Mr. Randock stated that the schematic design package was just completed and is out for bid. He indicated that this could provide an adequate set of documents to estimate construction costs. Mr. Randock noted that construction could begin in April 2007 with occupation in the fourth quarter of 2008. He said that the building will house four agencies, MAG, RPTA, Valley Metro Rail, and Arizona Municipal Water Users Association, and include a conference center, at-grade retail, a parking garage, and unassigned office space.

Mr. Randock stated that the building, at First Avenue and McKinley, would be located just south of a light rail station and would visually represent a gateway to downtown Phoenix. He explained that the building borders the Roosevelt Historic District and would be constructed with sensitivity to its historic character. Mr. Randock then explained there would be no vehicle access on Second Avenue and that building traffic would use McKinley or First Avenue to access the facility. He stated that this project includes a separate development parcel for residential units on the Second Avenue frontage, shielding the parking garage from the

neighborhood. Mr. Randock stated that the city-owned setback would have green space with palm trees and an irrigated lawn, in keeping with the historic neighborhood.

Mr. Randock stated that it is envisioned that the conference center, which would be located on the fifth level, would be the functional focal point of the building. Retail space along First Avenue is proposed, to possibly house a food vendor who could provide adjacent sidewalk dining and also catering services. Mr. Randock stated that the offices would be located above the conference center.

Mr. Randock stated that the fifth floor conference center would house the conference rooms for all agencies. Saguaro Room A is proposed to be significantly larger than the current Saguaro Room. He explained that it would be configured to allow increased visibility of the dual screens. Mr. Randock stated that there would be two other conference rooms similar to the current Cholla Room, in addition to smaller conference rooms, and a multi-purpose room that would seat up to 300 people. He added that all meeting rooms could utilize electronic media. Mr. Randock said that a rooftop garden that could hold 30 to 40 people for smaller functions is proposed.

Mr. Randock stated that they have integrated the City of Phoenix Urban Form design guidelines and created a building with water and energy conservation, such as siting the building east/west and proposing xeriscape landscaping. He noted that the building would utilize translucent glass. Mr. Randock stated that they are currently researching utilizing Arizona materials. Chair Beasley thanked Ms. McClafferty and Mr. Randock and asked members if they had questions.

Mr. Cleveland asked about water conservation with regard to the area would have grass lawns. Mr. Randock replied that the streetscape being planned by the City of Phoenix mandates irrigated grass in that location only. He said that the City of Phoenix is trying to bring back the historic character by using lawns and palm trees. Mr. Randock added that this will be the only place this type of landscape would appear and that the rest will be low water use. Mr. Randock added that condensation from the building's cooling system would be collected to water plants and possibly turf.

Mr. Fairbanks added that Phoenix does not use grass in rights-of-way except in this location because it is an historic district. He said that if you go back to the beginning of the neighborhood, it was green. Mr. Fairbanks added that the neighborhood prefers the original look of the historic neighborhood.

Mr. Pettit asked if there was any concern for a 12-story office building overlooking a residential neighborhood. Mr. Randock replied that the rooftop terrace is on the east side and is limited to 30 feet. He added that on the fifth level, the xeriscape garden faces north with no access to the west. This provides privacy for residences.

Mr. Fairbanks complimented MAG staff and the Kaye/Ryan group for working very hard with the neighborhood to create a compatible project. He added that people who live in downtown Phoenix are used to tall buildings, and in comparison, this building is not really that tall.

Chair Beasley noted that no action was needed for this agenda item; however, action needed to be taken on agenda item #6. Mr. Pettit moved to recommend approval of the Draft MAG Public Participation Plan. Mr. Rumpeltes seconded, and the motion carried unanimously.

9. Requested Changes to the ADOT Program

This agenda item was taken out of order.

Mr. Anderson reported that the Arizona Department of Transportation is requesting FY 2007 program changes to the MAG Regional Transportation Plan Freeway Program. These requests are the result of ADOT updating costs and schedules based on the latest study and design information. Mr. Anderson stated that time is of the essence and action is being requested due to ADOT's need to advertise projects in mid-January 2007. He said that MAG and ADOT thoroughly reviewed the requested changes and determined that they are reasonable and necessary to meet the RTP Freeway Program. Mr. Anderson stated that MAG has also reviewed the ADOT cash flows with the requested changes incorporated into the analysis. Although the requested changes increase costs by more than \$122 million, the program schedule adjustments and revised revenue projections can accommodate these changes without other program changes being needed.

Mr. Anderson stated that changes include a number of projects related to the I-17 widening from Loop 101 to the Carefree Highway. He advised the right-of-way for the I-17 widening cost changes, \$30 million of the \$70 million increase, has to do with right-of-way for Loop 303. Mr. Anderson said that the money originally in the Loop 303 budget is now being moved back to I-17 where the right-of-way acquisition will take place. Mr. Anderson stated that additional right-of-way is needed for drainage components and added that the right-of-way take requirements have been refined. Mr. Anderson commented on property value increases and said that parcels in the corridor are now appraising at \$13 to \$15 per square foot.

Mr. Anderson stated that schedule changes also need to be made for the I-10 Collector Distributor System because the Environmental Impact Statement is underway. Funds are programmed in 2007 for right-of-way and design, but cannot be utilized until the EIS is completed.

Mr. Anderson stated that there are also cost increases to the landscape budget because it has not been adjusted to reflect today's higher cost environment. Mr. Anderson stated that ADOT has modified the budget to ensure that the landscaping systemwide is maintained the way it was established by elected officials in the mid-1990s to include plant material.

Mr. Anderson stated that on the revenue side, part of the reason cost increases can be accommodated because we accounted for future inflation with adjustments in cash flow in the next two months. He added that approximately \$100 million will be released in the next couple of months. Mr. Anderson stated that in addition, the sales tax forecast is up substantially, as well as HURF projections. Mr. Anderson said that he met with ADOT management last week and they reviewed the 20-year plan and the five-year plan to make sure the program would stay in balance. He noted that they usually try to run changes as a package so everyone knows all of

the changes. Mr. Anderson commented that these are 2007 changes only and approval was needed to maintain the bid schedule. Chair Beasley thanked Mr. Anderson for his presentation. No questions from the Committee were noted.

Mr. Pettit moved to recommend approval of the requested program changes. Mr. Cleveland seconded, and the motion carried unanimously.

8. Comments from the Committee

An opportunity will be provided for Management Committee members to present a brief summary of current events. The Management Committee is not allowed to propose, discuss, deliberate or take action at the meeting on any matter in the summary, unless the specific matter is properly noticed for legal action.

No comments from the Committee were noted.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 12:40 p.m.

Chairman

Secretary